REMARKS

Claim 2 has been amended to properly identify the piston referred to in line 4 with numeral

18, as used in claim 1. Claim 5 has been amended to depend from claim 4 and thereby provide an

antecedent basis for the term "said bridge wire". These changes are unrelated to the Office Action

identified above

Restriction Requirement

The Office Communication mailed on June 23, 2008 in the above-identified patent

application contained a restriction requirement contending that the claims were directed to more

that one species of the generic invention. The applicant is required to provisionally elect one of

the species and the claims related thereto, to which the prosecution will be restricted if a generic

claim is not finally held allowable. The Office Action identified the following two species:

I. Figures 1-6, and

II. Figures 7-9.

Applicant hereby elects Species I depicted in Figures 1-6 to which claims 1-8 and 10-16

relate. Claims 1-8 and 10-16 are generic as covering both species identified above. Applicant

reserves the right to request rejoinder upon a generic claim being found allowable.

Consideration and allowance of the application are requested.

Respectfully submitted, Günter Julius Pelz

By: __/George E. Haas/___

George E. Haas Registration No. 27,642

Dated: July 10, 2008

Ouarles & Brady LLP

411 E. Wisconsin Avenue Suite 2040 Milwaukee, WI 53202-4497

Milwaukee, W1 33202-449

Telephone (414) 277-5751

OBACTIVE\6334770.1